

## CC-5-2024 Evaluation Criteria & Weights

### POINTS LEGEND

0 = Poor (unacceptable), 1 = Marginal, 2 = Fair, 3 = Average, 4 = Above Average, 5 = Very Good

#### TECHNICAL

#	DESCRIPTION	Weight	Points (1 - 5)	Max Points
T1	The Contractor's overall proposal demonstrates clear understanding of the scope of work, goals & objectives.	20	5	100.0
T2	The Contractor completed the proposal as required in a clear and concise manner.	10	5	50.0
T3	The Contractor has clearly defined all user access points, availability and payment methods.	20	5	100.0
T4	The Contractor has clearly provided all access point user fees and costs to be charged.	15	5	75.0
T5	The Contractor's proposed access points and EFT system workflows are user friendly for both the public and facility staff alike.	20	5	100.0
T6	The Contractor provided appropriate references and list of current/past clients.	5	5	25.0
T7	The Contractor provided appropriate list of lawsuits and claims, including appropriate case information and status.	5	5	25.0
			<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>475.0</b>

#### MANAGERIAL

#	DESCRIPTION	Weight	Points (1 - 5)	Max Points
M1	The Contractor has the appropriate qualifications, experience and ability to deliver EFT services to a large scale secured facility.	20	5	100.0
M2	The Contractor has the appropriate experience and abilities of corporate level managerial staff to effectively deliver EFT services.	25	5	125.0
M3	The Contractor's ability for contract start-up within the timeline of this RFP.	10	5	50.0
			<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>275.0</b>

#### FINANCIAL

#	DESCRIPTION	Weight	Points (1 - 5)	Max Points
F1	The Contractor's reasonableness of all user fees and costs charged to the public for transferring funds.	50	5	250.0
			<b>Sub-Total</b>	<b>250.0</b>

#### Points

475.00 Technical	48%
275.00 Management	28%
250.00 Financial	25%
<b>1,000.00</b>	<b>100%</b>