Monmouth County Workforce Development Board Career Services RFP Evaluation - 2024 CC-1-2024

Name of Contractor:	
Name /Type of Program:	
Name of Reviewer:	as needed, on strengths and weakness of each evaluation criteria.

1. Scope of Work - 125 points earned (max 5 pts per question)

Item #	Evaluation Criteria	Strengths	Weakness	Evaluator's score
1.	Has the Contractor demonstrated a	(%)		
	clear understanding of the purpose			
	and the scope of work?			
2.	Does the Contractor's reason for			
	seeking this award of contract			
	demonstrate integrity and desire to			
	serve the County of Monmouth?			-
3.	Please rate any unique or innovative			
	aspect to the proposal.			
4.	Does the organization have			
	experience providing career services?			
5	Are community-based services			
	described in the proposal and are			
	there sufficient services described?			
6	Does the proposal include 3			
	references and do the 3 references			
	show a history of career services and			
	integrity?			
7	Please rate the Contractor plan for			
	excellent customer service including			
	steps around staff training and staff			
	performance review.			
8.	Does the Contractor have a			
	documented referral process to and			
	from partner agencies?			
9.	Does the proposal describe how job			
	seekers will be welcomed into the			
	One Stop system?			

- 10	D (I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
10.	Do the workshops proposed include	
	topics that will be beneficial to job	
	seekers and businesses alike?	
11.	Does the ITA process described by	
	the Contractor seem sufficient?	
40	Does the proposal address sustamore	
12.	Does the proposal address customers	
	with barriers to success?	
40	Discount and an income	De :
13.	Please rate placement services	
	described by the Contractor.	
1.1	And the following continue described	
14.	Are the follow-up services described	
	sufficient for at least one year	
	following completion of program.	
4.5	Discount Contractor's approach to	
15.	Please rate Contractor's approach to	
	work-based learning including OJT	
	and IWT.	
10	Deep the Centraster present a youth	
16.	Does the Contractor present a youth	
	program that presents a plan for	
	recruitment of youth?	
17.	Does the youth program address the	
	14 youth program elements?	
18.	Does the Contractor have a clear plan	
	to work with the WFNJ population?	
19.	Do staff qualifications match the	
	needs of the program?	
	Troduc of the program.	
20.	Does the Contractor have a clear plan	
20.	for how it will staff the entire program?	
	for now it will stall the entire program:	
21	Does the Contractor have a plan to	
21.		
	instill a professional culture and	
	accountability plan for staff?	
22.	Does the Contractor have a plan for	
	how performance outcomes will be	
	achieved?	
23.	Does the Contractor have familiarity	
23.		
	with the Future Work Reporting	
	system?	
24.	Does the Contractor offer a fluid solid	
	transition plan from current provider of	
	service?	

.

25.	Please rate transition actions steps, strategies, and timelines?			
Comm	nents:			
2. Bu	dget - <mark>15</mark> points earned (max <mark>5</mark> pts per q	uestion)		
Item #	Evaluation Criteria	Strengths	Weakness	Evaluator's score
26.	Is the budget complete, reasonable, and accurate?			
27.	Does the budget narrative clearly detail the line item totals (including a rationale for each line item)?			
28.	Does the contract have costs that are reasonable and justified for the services			
	and activities proposed? ments: verall – 10 points earned (max 5 pts per c			
3. Ov	ments:		Weakness	Evaluato
3. Ov	rerall – 10 points earned (max 5 pts per constraint of the proposal provided specific, more than adequate and thorough information in response to the narrative questions and responded with logic, consistency,	question)		Evaluator Score
3. Ov Item # 29.	rerall – 10 points earned (max 5 pts per construction Criteria This proposal provided specific, more than adequate and thorough information in response to the narrative questions	question)		
3. Ov Item # 29.	rerall – 10 points earned (max 5 pts per construction Criteria This proposal provided specific, more than adequate and thorough information in response to the narrative questions and responded with logic, consistency, and accuracy. Overall, does the proposal provide a clear description of the services to be performed and its specific measurable	question)		

A. Would you recommend this pro	oposal as written?yesno
B. Accept this proposal with the	discussion of:
C. Would not accept this propo	sal and the reason why:
Evaluation Total: total score not to	exceed <mark>150</mark> points
1. Scope of Work	
2. Budget	
3. Overall	
Total Score	
Submitted by:	Date:

Evaluation Conclusion (please be specific):